**Introduction**

The malignant pleural effusion is frequently connected with metastatic tumors that have arisen in other body areas as well as the primary tumor of the pleura. Besides the direct diffusion, cancer cells spread by hematic or lymphatic way is the pathogenic mechanism responsible of the malignant pleural effusion. Lung cancer is the main cause of malignant pleural effusion with a prevalence of 35%; the second most frequent cancer correlated with malignant pleural effusion is breast cancer. Nevertheless, up to 7% of malignant pleural effusions have an unknown origin (1). The actual incidence of malignant pleural effusion is somewhat uncertain, but it is estimated that over 150,000 new cases are diagnosed annually in the United States (2). Video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) biopsy and pleurodesis are widely used but there is no uniform approach to their implementation (3).

This narrative review focuses on the VATS diagnostic approach and treatment modalities for management of malignant pleural effusion.

**Methods**

We conducted a literature search through The National...
Results

Of 151 records screened by the first search, 25 papers met the inclusion criteria; five, nine and eleven papers were randomized trials (4-8), prospective (9-17) and retrospective studies (18-28), respectively. The second search identified three guidelines (29-31) and a European survey (32). The Figure 1 shows the PRISMA flow diagram.

Discussion

Although the malignant pleural effusion engages the thoracic surgeon rather frequently in his daily practice, the literature is not particularly rich in scientific articles or guidelines.

The British guideline for investigation of a unilateral
pleural effusion in adults recommended the pleural aspiration as the first diagnostic approach (30). The probability of getting the diagnosis from thoracentesis depends on the type of malignancy affecting the pleura: the sensitivity of the cytological examination is relatively high for adenocarcinomas (83–57%) while it is very limited for pleural mesotheliomas (20%) (33). It follows that many suspected malignant pleural effusions remain undiagnosed after cytological examination of the pleural fluid.

Tumor molecular profiling, especially for lung adenocarcinoma, has become essential in predicting the response to targeted therapy. Recent trial evaluated the diagnostic performance of new generation sequencing to achieve oncogene analysis on pleural effusion with encouraging results (34), but surgical biopsy guarantees better diagnostic adequacy given the greater amount of neoplastic tissue available for molecular tests (35).

Advanced genome research showed that metastases exhibit specific characteristics that are different from their primary tumor (36). Genomic, epigenetic, and other molecular changes found primarily in metastases could affect therapeutic strategy; thus, oncologists increasingly require biopsy of metastases from already known primary tumors.

Considering that up to 40% of cytological examinations of pleural effusions are inadequate and that tissue specimens suitable for molecular tests are increasing requested, pleural biopsy by endoscopic procedure is the preferred diagnostic tool for malignant pleural effusion in patients who can tolerate simple surgical manoeuvres as well as subsequent oncological therapy (29,32).

**Pleuroscopy**

Pleuroscopy, or medical thoracoscopy, is a procedure introduced by Jacobeus the early 1900s. Interventional pulmonologists manage rigid or semirigid pleuroscopes equipped with biopsy forceps while patients are under moderate sedation, breathing spontaneously. Recently, Canadian researchers published a retrospective study aimed to compare the diagnostic value of pleuroscopy and VATS pleural biopsy (19). The VATS procedures were performed under general anesthesia and single lung ventilation. Diagnostic adequacy was 93.5% and 96% for pleuroscopy and VATS biopsy, respectively. The authors concluded that pleuroscopy and VATS had similar diagnostic adequacy and safety characteristics. However, being pleuroscopy cheaper than VATS, the authors conclude that the former can be considered the technique of choice in selected settings.

**VATS**

VATS can be considered the surgical version of medical thoracoscopy. Over the past two decades, thoracic surgeons have successfully developed complex operations by VATS. Thoracic surgeons consider pleural biopsy as the simplest procedures that can be performed by VATS; therefore, pleural biopsy is often the first surgical procedure performed by trainees.

A sort of synthesis between pleuroscopy and VATS emerged in recent years: non-intubated or awake VATS. This is a technique implemented to minimize the drawbacks related to tracheal intubation and single lung ventilation. Although this technique also applies to major lung surgery, its use in the management of malignant pleural effusion seems attractive (23). A recent meta-analysis pooling 27 studies found that non-intubated VATS produces less inflammatory responses and immune stimulation than classical intubated VATS, resulting in patients accelerated recovery and cost saving (37).

From a technical point of view, the number and quality of access to the thoracic cavity can affect the postoperative course. A small but interesting randomized trial analyzed three different types of trocar/wound-protector without highlighting any difference between the three devices (5). A recent Bulgarian trial prospectively analyzed the impact of the number of chest ports on pain and satisfaction of patients with malignant pleural effusion undergoing VATS (9). The authors concluded that one-port shows better results than the three-port VATS. It should be noted that this point is one of the most discussed among thoracic surgeons and a final decision is still far from being reached.

Sometime, the pleura of patients with suspected malignant pleural effusion is apparently normal. In these cases, help in identifying possible metastatic locations is essential; to meet this need, some researchers tested fluorescence techniques. Russian researchers positively tested fluorescence identification of pleural malignancy with 5-aminolaevulinic acid, whereas autofluorescence seems to identify pleural metastases better than primary tumor of the pleura (17,25).

**Talc pleurodesis**

Bethune first described the use of “iodized” talc in the 1930s; his purpose was to create pleural adhesion “as preliminary to lobectomy”.

For pleurodesis purposes, talc is administered by
thoracoscopy (talc poudrage) or in suspension through a chest tube (talc slurry). Given for sure that talc is the best sclerosing agent, it remains open to debate whether it is more effective talc poudrage or talc slurry (31). A British randomized trial, which was published in late 2019, examined the two methods of talc administration (38). Seventeen hospitals in the United Kingdom participated in the TAPPS (Evaluating the efficacy of Thoracoscopy And talc Poudrage versus Pleurodesis using talc Slurry) trial recruiting 330 patients; the pleurodesis failure rate was 22% and 24% in the poudrage group and in the slurry group, respectively. Although the authors acknowledge that the study was underpowered, they claimed that talc slurry compared with talc poudrage had no significant difference in pleurodesis failure rate at three months.

Alternative to talc pleurodesis

British researchers published the results of the MesoVATS trial in 2014 (6). That randomized trial was planned to find out whether VATS partial pleurectomy compared with talc pleurodesis improves survival, pleural effusion and quality of life in patients with pleural effusion secondary to malignant pleural mesothelioma. Unfortunately, changes in staging system, surgical technique and introduction of new chemotherapy occurred during the ten years of the study. Finally, the authors observed median overall survival of 13.1 and 13.5 months in the VATS group and talc pleurodesis group, respectively. On the other hand, quality of life was significantly better in the VATS group.

Recently, Greek researchers published a randomized trial aimed to compare survival after hyperthermic perfusion of the pleural cavity towards talc poudrage in patients with malignant pleural effusion (4). The study group (20 patients) received a pleural perfusion with carboplatin (500 mg/m²) at 41.5 °C for 45 minutes. The median survival was 8 and 9 months for hyperthermic and talc poudrage group, respectively.

Mohsen and collaborators published a randomized trial comparing the efficacy and safety of 10% povidone-iodine solution administered at bedside and VATS talc poudrage (8). The Authors concluded that the two treatments were equivalent; it should be noted that there are some concerns on the safety of intra-pleural administration of iodine solution.

The issue of best pleurodesis agent was the focus of a very recent Cochrane Library network meta-analysis (39). The Authors evaluated 55 papers reporting 21 different substances/procedures; talc poudrage resulted in higher pleurodesis success than bleomycin, tetracycline, mustine, interferon, indwelling pleural catheter, mitoxantrone and placebo. Finally, the Authors compared talc poudrage to talc slurry restricting analysis only to studies at low risk of bias; the two procedures had equivalent success rate.

Limitations

The current review has limitations; as every narrative review, it includes the risk of bias. We tried to mitigate this effect by reporting the results of recent systematic reviews. Our search strategy may not have identified all relevant clinical studies limiting the timeframe to the last decade. On the other hand, we were keen to review the most recent studies to highlight any news. Despite malignant pleura effusion is a common clinical situation, most studies contained a relatively small sample size, which can affect the reliability of the results. Finally, the current review is necessarily vague about the search for evidence, the relevance of included studies and their validity.

Conclusions

Malignant pleural effusion frequently affects the clinical evolution of patients with metastatic cancer. Correct diagnosis of pleural metastasis in low risk patients is mandatory in the era of target therapy even though the primary tumor is well known. Pleural biopsy remains the gold standard for the diagnosis of malignant pleural effusion. Pleural biopsy can be performed through pleuroscopy or VATS; the choice between the two methods lays on local hospital setting. A good compromise that combines the skill of the surgical approach with the advantages of avoiding general anesthesia is the non-intubated VATS. The type and number of trocars used during VATS appears to have no relevant impact on the short-term outcome. The best treatment to prevent the accumulation of pleural fluid is talc pleurodesis. If talc poudrage is administered during pleuroscopy or VATS, any effusion recurrence does not justify a new surgical approach given that talc slurry is equally effective.
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