The concept of the special form perforator flap and its role in the evolution of reconstruction
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Background: Reconstruction of complex soft tissue defects remains a challenging problem for microsurgery and plastic surgeons. Perforator flaps have become one of most popular option for extremity defect reconstruction in the past two decades due to minimized donor site morbidity and aesthetically contour. Special form perforator flap is the derivative of the perforators flap, and further expands its indications. In this article, we present our experience on using the optimal design of perforator flap for customized reconstruction of complex soft tissue defects of the extremities.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed data from patient who underwent reconstruction of soft tissue defects of the extremities using individual design of perforator flaps between January 2008 and November 2018. We performed the optimal design of perforator flap through graphic design, hierarchy structure and carrying tissue based on characterization of the soft tissue defect.

Results: Various types of perforator flaps including flow-through perforator flap, chimeric perforator flap, conjoined perforator flap, micro-dissected perforator flap and multi-lobed perforator flap were performed for the reconstruction of complex soft tissue defect. Those types of flaps also can be adopted for especially difficult extremity reconstruction by combining with each other.

Conclusions: Our ten years experiences show that the optimal design of perforator flaps provides more aesthetically contours and minimizes donor site morbidity. It further expends the range of application and indication of perforator flap.
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Introduction

Reconstruction of complex soft tissue defects, especially those involving exposure of deep vital structures, remains a challenging problem for reconstructive surgeons (1,2). Several surgery protocols have been described in the previous literature for the reconstruction of complex tissue defects, including local cutaneous flaps, pedicled fasciocutaneous flaps, muscle flaps and free flaps (1-5).

However, the use of traditional flap has some disadvantages, including bulking contour and higher donor site morbidity.

Ideal reconstruction surgery should not only repair the defects but also result in limited donor site morbidity and good function recovery (6,7). The perforator flap has enabled to avoid sacrifice of the muscle, the main vessels, deep fascia by using a meticulous dissection and anastomosis (8). Perforator flaps have been performed in increasing numbers since Koshima and Soeda first described perforator flaps...
in 1989 (9). Multiple clinical series have been reported that perforator flap can be used to realize “like with like” reconstruction of the wound (10-12). However, it is difficult to utilize those methods to reconstruct a complex defects because of their limited soft-tissue amount and less versatile design (13). To overcome the limitations of traditional perforator flap, multiple traditional perforator flaps in combination in a single operation are frequently adopted to repair the complex defects of extremities (14,15). However, more than one microsurgery anastomosis of vascular was required for this procedure, and leading to longer time consume and higher donor site morbidity.

Recently, several special form perforator flaps have been developed for complex soft tissue defect repair (16-19). Those perforator flaps were designed based on the characteristics of the wound and its reconstruction requirements, and then apply minimally invasive techniques and aesthetic concepts of perforator flaps to harvest the bone, skin, fascia or muscle segmentation and enables it to achieve the three-dimensional reconstruction of wound. It also can further improve clinical curative effect and reduce the donor site morbidity through microdissection technique. It is commonly considered that five types of perforators flap including flow-through perforator flap (18), chimeric perforator flap (20), conjoined perforator flap (17), micro-dissected perforator flap (21) and poly-leaf perforator flap (22) as the basic type of the special form perforator flap. Notably, surgeons also can extend the indication with multiple techniques in combination.

The purpose of this paper was to summarize our 10 years experiences on the application of perforator flap. We also introduce a new technique about the special form perforator flap and to explore its application indication.

Methods

Flow-through perforator flap

Severe injury to the extremities usually accompanied with damage to major vessels (23). It is necessary to restore the damaged vascular system and preserve recipient flow to salvage the damage extremities. In this context, the flow-through technique was one of most popular methods, as it can provide one single operative for arterial reconstruction and soft-tissue coverage simultaneously (24). Moreover, the use of flow-through technique can provide more natural branching of the pedicle through normal physiologic flow and more hemodynamically stable. Thus, flow-through perforator flap provided alternative option for pedicle anastomosis with less flap relation complication and no sacrifice of any more recipient vessels (18).

The flow-through flap was first introduced by Soutar et al. (25) in 1983, and then Koshima and his coworkers (24) have described the radial forearm flow-through flap which was used to reconstruct an extremity with a simultaneous vascular defect. Since then, various types of flow-through technique have been introduced (26-29). Most previous literatures have focused on using the flow-through technique for simultaneous vascular gap and soft-tissue defect reconstruction. However, according to our practice clinical experience, the flow-through flaps can be performed for various reconstruction purposes (18). Firstly, flow-through perforator flaps are indicated for revascularization of extremities with concomitant soft-tissue deficits; secondly, flow-through perforator flaps can also be used to preserve the flow integrity of the recipient vessel during free tissue transfer with less recipient site morbidity; thirdly, This technique may be useful for free flap transfer when a suitable recipient vein cannot be found; lastly, flow-through perforator flaps also can be used to provide an arterial source for an additional flap.

Operative technique

This preoperative procedure is similar with the traditional perforator flap. Perforator vascular should be detected in the donor site by using the Hand Doppler probe or CTA as much as possible. To evaluate the vascular anatomy of the recipient site, an extremity CTA was used for all patients. A specific customized reconstruction of individual patients also was performed by our surgery team by assessing and classifying the extremity defects.

Based on the perforators located by Doppler-delineated preoperative and three-dimensional features of the wound, the perforator flap was designed on the donor site. Dissection was performed from the lateral border of the flap, and then a suprafascial dissection until the perforator was identified. Notably, more than one appropriate perforators should been preserved during the dissection process. The perforator was traced back to the main trunk of the donor site vessels, which was dissected according to pedicle length requirements. The large muscle branch should be preserved to perform the T anastomosis (Figure 1).

To reconstruct the major artery gap, the pedicle vessels of the perforator flap were inserted into the vascular gap in the extremity. And then micro-anastomosis was performed between the donor artery and the recipient artery. Notably,
only the proximal end of the concomitant vein was required to performed micro-anastomosis which will maintain venous drainage of the flap (Figure 2).

To not sacrifice the main recipient vessels and reduce the recipient site morbidity, the flow-through technique was performed to preserve the recipient artery flow. Two ends of the artery pedicle of flow-through flap were anastomosed to two ends of the recipient vessel, while the concomitant vein only needed connect with the proximal of the recipient vein.

For the reconstruction of circumferential soft-tissue defects, the superficial veins of the extremities maybe not healthy. In this situation, preserving the deep veins flow is indispensable to avoid the extremities necrosis. Therefore, the artery and concomitant deep veins of the extremities should be bridged simultaneously to preserve venous return in the leg.

The flow-through perforator flaps can also be performed in combination with another free flap (bridge flap) to cover very large skin defects. The proximal end of the pedicle of flow-through flap was connected with the recipient artery, and the distal end of the pedicle of flow-through flap was connected with the pedicle of an additional flap.

Flow-through perforator flaps can be designed for various purposes in microsurgical reconstruction, including preserving recipient flow, reconstructing an artery gap, repairing an artery and concomitant vein simultaneously, preserving the deep veins flow.

**Figure 1** A diagram of anastomosis with flow through perforator flap. The recipient artery was bridged through anastomosis with the two ends of the descending branch of the LCFA. To repairing the gap of recipient vessels and not sacrifice the main recipient vessels, the concomitant veins of the radial arteries only were not anastomosed to the proximal end of concomitant veins of the descending branch of the LCFA respectively for the flap's venous drain. LCFA, lateral circumflex femoral artery.

**Figure 2** Flow-through flap transfer for reconstruction of soft tissue defect. (A) A 27-year-old male with soft tissue defect, exposure of the bone and tendons on the left ankle and foot; (B) to preserve the anterior tibial artery flow, a free flow-through ALTP flap measuring 20 cm × 8 cm was harvested for the defect; (C,D) postoperative view of the recipient and donor sites. ALTP, anterolateral thigh perforator.
and providing an arterial source for an additional flap. Although various indications may be suitable for flow-through perforator flaps, we considered that the best indication for such a procedure is in patients with single-artery extremities.

**Chimeric perforator flap**

Complex soft tissue defect reconstruction demands a flap with three-dimensional requirement of both volume and surface (15,30). Traditional perforator flaps may not be large enough or lack the versatility that offer adequate tissue volume and allows precise tissue positioning to optimally cover the wound (19). Reconstruction with three-dimensional microvascular flaps is often the preferred alternative. Chimeric perforator flap can own different tissue component to realize three-dimensional reconstruction.

Chimeric perforator flap consists of multiple independent tissue flaps and each pedicles of flap are linked to a common source vascular. The type of flap was first described as a Siamese combined flap. In 1995, Koshima (23) described it as a mosaic flap which was used for the reconstruction of massive cervical defects, this flap consists of two adjacent free flaps that are simultaneously harvested and whose pedicles were anastomosed as a bridge flap. The nomenclature always is chaos until Huang (31) and Hallock (32) have definite this flap as a chimeric perforator flap in 2003. And then, Hallock further systematic introduced the chimeric concept of compound flaps that are based on different blocks of tissue supplied by different branches of vessels with a common pedicle (16).

**Operative technique**

This preoperative procedure is similar with the traditional perforator flap. Perforator vascular should be detected in the donor site by using the Hand Doppler probe or CTA as much as possible. To evaluate the vascular anatomy of the recipient site, an extremity CTA was used for all patients. A specific customized reconstruction of individual patients also was performed by our surgery team by assessing and classifying the extremity defects. Enough separately and suitable perforators were detected on at least one thigh. The area of the tissue defect was preoperative estimated, and then a paper template was created according to the feature of the defect to assist the planning of the eventual size of flap.

The surgical techniques, which were used to harvest the chimeric perforator flap, were performed as it was described in elsewhere (22,33). Based on the perforators located by Doppler-delineated and three-dimensional features of the wound, the flap was designed on the anterolateral aspect of the thigh. A segment of muscle, bone, nerve or fascia could be included according to trails of defect. For example, a muscle flap can be designed to obliterate the dead space and the cutaneous flap is used to coverage the wound. The chimeric perforator flap can be three-dimensional inset with more degrees of freedom (Figure 3).

**Polyfoliate perforator flap**

Primary closure of the donor site after flap harvest is the key maneuver in achieving satisfactory results for both patient and operator. The polyfoliate perforator flaps have been recently introduced as a reliable method of extensive soft tissue defects reconstruction with favorable outcomes (34-37). The polyfoliate perforator flap is similar to the concept of chimeric perforator flap which was described by Hallock (20,38). The polyfoliate perforator flap is designed that each skin paddle is supplied by a separate skin perforator, both originating from the same source vessel. The whole flap can be divided into two or more paddles between the perforators, allowing the those paddles to be stacked side by side or rotated about each other, providing cover for larger defects which is impossible to be covered with a convention perforator flap (22). This procedure provided a sufficient area of soft tissue skin for very large defects reconstruction without donor sites skin graft (33). However, until now, the operative technique has not been systematically evaluated.

**Preoperative**

It is very important to assess the vascular anatomy of donor site for the polyfoliate perforator flap, because More than two suitable perforators, enough long pedicle for each paddle and deriving from the same source vessels are inevitable condition for success elevation a polyfoliate perforator flap. A lower extremity CTA scan was performed for all patients in our department. The localization of perforator was performed as it is described by Chen et al. (39).

**Operative technique**

After debridement, a paper template with the same dimensions as defect was created. According to the shape of the wound, the laxity of the skin over the anterolateral aspect of the thigh and location of perforators, the separate paddles were designed depend on the various perforators. Dissection was started from the lateral border of the flap,
followed by a suprafascial dissection until the perforator was identified. At least two appropriate perforators were selected. The perforator was traced back to the main trunk, and was dissected according to pedicle length requirements. The skin paddles are not split between the perforators until enough and suitable perforators are identified.

To reconstruct the extensive soft tissue defects, the skin paddles could be placed side by side to extend the width of the flap. This method allows the flap to be used for reconstruction of very large defects whilst maintaining donor-site direct closure. For irregular defect or nonadjacent defects reconstruction, the split paddles can be three-dimensional inset with more degrees of freedom. However, the effective vascular pedicle length between the paddles and the trunk pedicle length should be fully considered to minimize the pedicle tension and twisting (Figure 4).

**Conjoined perforator flap**

Each perforator holds a unique vascular territory. The vascular territory was named as perforasomes (12,40). Basic on the concept of perforasomes or even angiosomes, Conjoined perforator flaps can allow the creation of

---

**Figure 3** Chimeric perforator flap for three-dimensional reconstruction. (A) A 47-year-old male suffered with soft tissue defects and a dead space on the left foot; (B) a chimeric ALTP flap was designed to reconstruct the defect; (C) the ALTP chimeric flap included a skin and a piece of vastus lateralis muscle; (D) flap inset: the skin paddle was used to coverage the surface defect, the dead space was obliterated by the muscle paddle; (E,F) postoperative view of the recipient site at 6-month follow-up. ALTP, anterior lateral thigh perforator.
incredibly huge flaps that can capture multiple contiguous perforasomes, or even angiosomes. The concept of this type of special form perforator flap was first reported by the Hallock in 2006 (32). They have defined the conjoined perforator flaps as multiple flap territories and dependent because of some common physical junction, yet each retaining its independent vascular supply. More than one of perforasomes or even angiosomes have been included in the Conjoined perforator flap, and a Turbocharge or Supercharge technique is necessary to reestablish the blood supply (41-44). The conjoined perforator flap is more suitable to be used to reconstruct the long but not widen defect. A circumferential soft-tissue defect is another indication for the type of special form perforator flap.

Operative technique
This preoperative procedure is similar with the traditional perforator flap. Perforator vascular should be detected in the donor site by using the Hand Doppler probe or CTA as much as possible.

The surgical technique used to harvest the conjoined perforator flap was similar as the traditional perforator flap (22,33). However, some little tips should be taken careful during the dissection of flap. On the one hand, enough perforator vascular (diameter >0.5 mm) should be hold as much as possible; on the other hand, each perforator should be

Figure 4 Dual skin paddle perforator flap for extensive soft tissue defect reconstruction. (A) A 45-year-old female suffered with a huge soft tissue defect (14 cm × 15 cm) on left leg; (B) design of the double skin paddle ALTP flap; (C,D) two skin paddles showing common vascular pedicle and side-by-side stacking, effectively doubling the width of the flap; (E,F) postoperative view of the recipient and donor sites. ALTP, anteriorlateral thigh perforator.
reliable, and it is inevitable to use vascular clamp to confirmed each perforator territory in order to choice suitable perforator; In additional, when the pedicle was elevated, a large branch of the main vascular should be retained.

To rebuild the blood circulation of conjoined perforator flap, supercharge or turbocharge technique should be adopted during the operation. In the specific situation, more than two perforators were included in a super long conjoined perforator flap, combination supercharge with turbocharge technique should be performed to avoid the partial necrosis in the flap (Figure 5).

It was special suitable to use the “Turbocharge” flap when only one suitable can be found in the recipient site. “Turbocharge” technique was first described by 1994 by Semple (45) to augment territory of a single pedicle Transverse rectus abdominals myocutaneous flap. Using the T-anastomosis technique, the conjoined perforator flap is able to vascular the skin territory of indirect perforator. The proximal of direct perforator pedicle was anastomosed to the recipient artery, and the distal of direct perforator pedicle was anastomosed to the indirect perforator pedicle. A large muscle branch can also be preserved to bridge the indirect perforator vessels.

When multiple of vessels can be choose in the recipient site, the “surpercharge” flap may be become more suitable. This technique have first introduce by Takayanagi (46) in 1993. The direct perforator pedicle and the indirect perforator pedicle were anastomosed to different recipient

![Figure 5 Conjoined perforator flap. (A) A 17-year-old boy suffered with a circumferential soft tissue defect in the right hand; (B) A conjoined perforator flap (size 25 cm ×10 cm) was designed to coverage the wound; (C) intraoperative view of the conjoined perforator flap; the descending branch and transverse branch of the lateral circumflex femoral artery were preserved as the source vessels in the flap; (D) a diagram of anastomosis with conjoined perforator flap, the turbocharge technique was adopted during the operation; (E,F) postoperative view of the donor sites at 14 months followed up.](image-url)
Microdissection thin perforator flap

Depending on the anatomical location, a thinner flap was more suitable for the soft tissue defect reconstruction in the foot and hand. Numbers of free perforator flap, which have been reported in the recently, can be choice for foot and hand reconstruction. However, Regardless of the available options, these have often resulted in bulk contour and unstable mobile surface. Some author argument that second stage debulking procedure can provide long-term constant, reliable, thin skin coverage for the feet after free flap or local flap reconstruction, but those procedures require another surgery and would not provide a durable over time. The use of Microdissection perforator flap could realize primary thinned-out flaps for reconstruction, it has been shown to result in better contour in hand, foot, head and neck reconstruction (21, 47). In 2002, Kimura first introduce a new technique, named “microdissection”, to create a thinner perforator flap by elevating the tensor fasciae latae perforator flap to serve as microdissected thin perforator flap by applying this meticulous technique to the dissection of small vessels in the adipose layer (48). Eleven patients have been included in the article and presented satisfactory outcomes.

Operative technique

This preoperative procedure is similar with the traditional perforator flap. A dominant perforator vascular should be located by using the Hand Doppler probe.

According to characterize of wound, a template was made to assistant outline the flap in the donor site. Along on both sides of the long axis of the flap, dissection was started from the border of the flap, followed by a suprafascial dissection until the perforator was identified. Microscopy or magnifying glass was required for dissection in order to protect the perforator vascular. Basic on the anatomical of source vessels and the design of the flap, dissection of the pedicle beneath the deep fascia is carried out through an extended incision.

Microdissection into the adipose layer was performed in the deep adipose layer to the level of the superficial adipose layer. Meticulous manipulation was required to protect those branches which were derived from the perforators during the microdissection procedure. Once the fat lobules were removed from around the perforators by the microdissection procedure, we can boldly remove fat tissue according to the needs of regions. Notable, the pedicle should not be cut until the debulking procedure was completed. In additional, circulation of the flap should be checked before cutting the pedicle. This procedure can preserve enough durable thick skin with protective sensation, adequate soft-tissue cushioning, and also get a good anatomical contour, but it is more time-consuming, and success rates is vary (Figure 6).

Discussion

The perforator flap was first introduced by Koshima et al. (49) and has been proven to be reliable in clinical application. The methods have become the workhorse procedure for plastic reconstructive surgery in the past decade. However, for an ideal soft tissue defect reconstruction, the preparation of the perforator flap should be fitted to the details of the wound as accurately as possible. A traditional perforator flap may not be suitable to be obtained for the complex defect reconstruction, therefore, it is necessary to modify the flap not only by elevating the flap precisely to minimize the donor site morbidity but also by adjusting the thickness, bending, folding, and division of the perforator flap. Special form perforator flap can be individual designed with based on the characteristics of the wound and its reconstruction requirements.

Special form perforator flap owns many advantages for the extremities defect reconstruction. On the one hand, according to the characteristic of the defect, special form perforator flap can be designed with free style. For through-and-through defect and multiple nonadjacent defects reconstruction, the split paddles can be three-dimensional inset with more degrees of freedom. To reconstruct the extensive soft tissue defects, the skin paddles can be placed side by side, effectively doubling the width of the flap. We can also achieve soft tissue reconstruction and vascular gap repairing simultaneously with the flow-through perforator flap. On the other hand, special form perforator flaps is ability to simultaneously provide multiple tissue types as building blocks of virtually unlimited size to fill any volume deficit, restore any absent underlying framework, and allow immediate coverage. The ultimate shape and contour can be independently customized and then inset with not limitation, especially with the chimeric perforator flap and polyfoliate perforator flap. In additional, all necessary components of the special fore perforator flap can be obtained from a single donor site that will then be directly closed to minimize donor site morbidity. Meanwhile, if multiple independent parts arise from a single mother major
source vessel, a free special form perforator flap will require only a single recipient vessel to revascularize the whole flap. Otherwise, a paucity of recipient vessels will be a significant limiting factor.

Although many advantages of the special form perforator flap have been demonstrated in many papers, there are some tricks and disadvantages which should be taken carefully. First, the vascular anatomy of donor site must be identified before operation. A computed tomography-assisted angiography scan and a handheld Doppler probe were performed in all patients. This is part of our routine preoperative work for special perforator flap transfer. Suitable perforators, the effective vascular pedicle length and each perforator with a same source vascular were necessary to harvest a special form perforator flap. Second, more perforators and meticulously perforator dissecting are requirement during the operation. Hence, longer surgery time is consumed. Thirdly, application of the special form perforator flap requires long learning curve and skillful microsurgery technique, because we generally decide which type of special perforator was used according to the characteristic of the defect and the surgeon’s experience. In additional, more perforator needs to be dissected during the procedure. Lastly, there are also some views that the use of some special form perforator flap has some disadvantages, including the addition of another scar at the recipient site, increasing the risk of kinking or twisting the vascular pedicle and requiring more operation time.

Figure 6 Microdissection perforator flap. (A) A 37-year-old female suffered traumatic tissue defects on her right foot. An ALTP flap was harvested to reconstruct the wound; (B) the thickness of the flap was 25 mm; (C) a microdissection procedure was performed and only 8 mm thickness was left; (D,E,F) postoperative view of donor site and recipient site.
Figure 7 An algorithm to determine which special perforator flap harvesting for complex extremities soft tissue defect reconstruction should be performed is provided.

Conclusions

An ideal reconstructive procedure should obtain a satisfactory aesthetic result, better functional recovery, less recipient site complications and limitation of donor site morbidity. Perforator flaps provide a more aesthetically pleasing flap contour and minimizes donor site morbidity. Special form perforator flap is the derivative of the perforators flap, it further raised the clinical curative effect of the perforators flap, and expanded its indications. However, the use of the special form perforator flap requires a long learning curve and skillful microsurgery technique. In the hand of skilled surgeons with extensive microsurgery experience of the traditional perforator flap, special form perforator flaps have been proven to result in less donor-site morbidity, thinner flaps, better patient satisfaction and no difference in recipient-site complications. An algorithm to determine which special perforator flap harvesting for complex extremities soft tissue defect reconstruction should be performed is provided (Figure 7).
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